TRADITION VS CHANGE

Tradition means universal, immutable, and unchangeable, vs. change. Why so many changes?

Most Catholics living today have little to no memory or recollection of what the Catholic Church was like prior to Vatican II, much less WWII. It's like trying to remember what it was like before the internet and getting through the day without a smart phone. What was once unchangeable traditions of the Catholic Church has morphed into what Paul VI calls this new "Novus Ordo" since 1969. Why change what has existed since the first century?

Jesus gave His followers one simple mission, "Go forth, teach ye all nations; baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost." [Matthew 28:19] He went on to say, "Teaching them to observe all things whatsoever I have commanded you: and behold I am with you all days, even to the consummation of the world." – verse 20.

Who authorized the changes since Vatican II regarding Christ commands or the Mass He established?

Beginning after the conclusion of the Vatican II council in 1969, Paul VI along with Annibale Bugnini, a known Freemason, announced a whole new Mass, the "Novus Ordo Missae". The Tridentine "Latin" Mass was eliminated and replaced with a vernacular (ordinary) Mass. Why? What was the purpose?

In addition, the new Novus Ordo Church decided to remove all the traditional stone high altars all over the world and replace them with the wooden Protestant "Lord's Supper" table in every "Catholic" Church today. Why? What was the purpose? Did these changes fulfill Jesus words at Matt. 28:19-20?

Upon closer examination, many prayers have been deleted from the Novus Ordo Mass, and new prayers have been added that were not part of the prayers Christ authorized. Novus Ordo Mass added a "Seder" prayer taken directly from of the Jewish Rabbinical Talmud teachings. Why, when Jesus clearly commanded His followers "His people" to have nothing to do with Jews? (See Matthew 23rd chapter)

Also interesting is the fact that this term, Novus Ordo began to be used right after World War I with President Wilson referring to the "League of Nations" as a "new world order" organization as the only hope for mankind to eliminate future wars. Then after WWII, President Roosevelt convened world leaders after World War II in 1945, including communist Stalin, to draft articles of another league, the "United Nations", another attempt to end all future wars, as "the only hope for mankind". Yet, again, after the Korean War, Vietnam War and many other wars and battles throughout the world since WWII, President Bush in 1991 declared that a "new world order" was emerging. Then in 1965, Paul VI, leader of the modern Catholic Church stated at the United Nations Organization assembly, "this Organization (UN) represents the obligatory path of modern civilization and world peace." What could this mean?

Christ gave us answers to questions like this, but as Jesus said at Matthew 7:7, we must "seek" the truth.

This is not a study or verse by verse discussion of the Apocalypse, we must first read Apocalypse chapters 17 through 20 to grasp the significance of the features of a "wild beast", a "harlot", and "Babylon".

"And I heard another voice from heaven, saying: **Go out from her, my people,** if you be not partakers of her sins, and that you receive not of her plagues." [Apocalypse 18:4]

What were "His people" doing in 'her' to begin with that Jesus had to tell them to "Get out of her...?" Would Christ establish a Church (His Bride), invite many to become His disciples, "His people", and then later tell them to "Get out of "HER", leave, and get away from HER?"

Why would Christ refer to "HER" now as "mother of fornication", a "harlot", "Babylon" the great, and an abomination of the earth? How are we to understand this "mystery"? It's only a mystery until Christ reveals it's meaning or if we fail to "ask" or "seek" the answers. Matt. 7:7.

Get out of her, 'MY PEOPLE'

"Get out of her 'my people", was a command and a warning Christ gave to all His disciples.

The question is, who is she, and how did she become a harlot that Jesus would tell us to get out of her?

Apocalypse or Revelation is a book John wrote concerning the future, so it was a mystery then, but it was about what Jesus called the "last days" when "His people" would face extreme persecution from within and outside the Church.

Peter spoke of "Babylon", he was referring to Rome. [1 Peter 5:13-14] So, get out of Babylon? How are we to understand Christ meaning for "his people" to "Get out of her"? Get out of Rome?

God warned "His people" to "Get out..." many times in history for different reasons.

- 1. The Garden of Eden get out Eden due to their sin.
- 2. Noah's Ark get out of the world outside the Ark, so as not to be destroyed by the flood.
- 3. Babylon when Persia destroyed Babylon, God told His people to flee and go back to Jerusalem.
- 4. Sodom and Gomorrah "Flee" before God destroyed the cities.
- 5. Jerusalem in 70 A.D. "Flee" before Roman armies destroyed Jerusalem and all the Jews there.
- 6. Babylon the Great spoken of in Apocalypse "Flee", get away from the harlot.
- 7. Unfaithful marriage (?) read on.

Matthew 5:31-32 Jesus stated,

"...whosoever shall put away his wife, <u>except on grounds of fornication</u>, may cause her to commit adultery: and he that shall marry her commits adultery."

The Catholic Church allows for Catholics to divorce only on the grounds of fornication, but Catholics may not remarry. In God's eyes they remain married. Although there is a narrow provision for annulment, which beyond the scope of this application.

Doctors of the Church, such as St. Alphonsus Liguori, St. Thomas Aquinas, and other saints point out the application of Jesus words as it pertains to "spiritual" unfaithfulness. This provision does not allow for a Catholic to join themselves to any other religion. Doing so would constitute spiritual adultery.

What constitutes "the Church"? The Hebrew word "knessiyah" occurs in such Old Testament, "With thee is my praise in a great **church**:" [Psalms 21:26] In the New Testament the Greek work for church is "ekklesia", and in St. Jerome's Latin Vulgate the Latin word "ecclesia" is used. In all cases, Church means "to call out", "gathering of those called out", etc. Centuries later words such as "assembly, congregation, council", etc., were adopted. This has nothing to do with buildings and meeting places, but people who have been called out by Christ to be part of His "mystical body" of faithful Christians that He called out.

"No man cometh to the Father, but by me." - [John 14:6] - those called out.

To be clear, Christ was not telling anyone to flee from the Catholic Church. Those who deviate, separate themselves or divorce themselves from His Church, this would constitute a defection, an apostasy. It is not the Church that defects from Christ, but any who violates or leaves the Church by their own actions would constitute defection. Each, by their own free will, their choice, separates themselves from Christ, are no longer part of His Church, His "mystical body of believers".

Christ warned, "...do not keep company with anyone who calls himself a brother, who is a fornicator, ... a drunkard, etc., not so much as to eat with them." [1 Corinthians 5:11]

Douay Rheims Bible footnote: Those who scandalously **practice** such things knowingly, are no longer to be viewed as "in the faith", and not to mix in company with them.

St Paul stated at **Galatians 1:8**, that anyone, man, or angel, who speaks contrary to Christ is anathema. "If anyone, even an angel from heaven should preach to you anything besides that which you have received from Christ, let him be anathema."

Therefore, anyone, man, woman, or angels who knowingly by word or action stands against Christ's teachings are outside the Church and viewed as apostates.

Lot's wife was told to "flee" from Sodom, but because did not heed God's warning, she turned into salt. God is not to be mocked. He means exactly what He says.

Jesus also warned that the Church would enter a time He called, "the last days", and that great tribulations would manifest itself throughout the world. When His apostles asked Jesus when this would happen, He answered them by giving them the signs the world entered into this time period. All four gospel writers, Matthew, Mark, Luke, and John, all revealed many things Jesus told them. Both Peter and Paul also spoke about signs of the "end times" in their epistles. Finally, the apostle John wrote down very vivid details about this "time of the end" in the Apocalypse, or Revelation.

Most Catholics, and even non-Christians, readily admit we are experiencing great tribulations throughout the world, especially since the end of World War I. For those Catholics old enough, they have also seen great changes take place in the Church itself, especially since Vatican II, long before Francis. Some say that the Church merely has had a series of what they refer to as "bad" Popes, and all we need is one great "Pope" to straighten things out. Is that true? How could a "good" Pope come from a hierarchy in Rome who all support Vatican II and these Popes since Pius XII? They will elect their own kind.

Matthew 7:18-20,

"...an evil tree cannot bring forth good fruit. 19 Every tree that bringeth not forth good fruit, shall be cut down, and shall be **cast into the fire**. 20 By their fruits you shall know them."

"IF" a Pope is a heretic or worse, an apostate, can he still be a Pope or even be Catholic?

There have been several "bad" Popes in history, some who committed grave sins. But it's one thing to sin, but it's another matter to commit heresy or worse, apostasy.

Saints and doctors of the Church made it clear that "Popes cannot be heretics." – Cardinal St. Bellarmine in the 16th century. St Thomas Aguinas also spoke of Popes being disqualified due to heresy.

The Papal Bull "Cum ex apostolatus officio of Pope **Paul IV**" (15th century) stated: if anyone was a heretic before the Papal election, he could not be a valid pope, even if he is elected unanimously by the Cardinals. **Canon 188.4 (1917 Code of Canon Law)** teachers: if a cleric (pope, bishop, etc.) becomes a heretic, he loses his office without any declaration by operation of law. "If however, God were to permit a pope to become a notoriously and contumacious heretic he would by such fact cease to be pope, and the apostolic **chair would be vacant.**" - St. Alphonsus Liguori, Moral theologian and doctor of the Church.

Sts. Bellarmine, Liquori, Aquinas, and other saints of the Church clearly presented commentary on apostolic teachings of Christ that a Pope cannot be a heretic or apostate, that "if" it is discovered he was, he would be deposed and loose his charism as a Pope along with all jurisdictions. His actions would disqualify him from even be recognized as a Catholic any longer. His actions would be a defection from the Church.

What is a heretic? And what is an apostate?

The Church has made it clear what it means to be a heretic, and an apostate.

 Heresy: St. Thomas defines heresy: "a species of infidelity in men who, having professed the faith of Christ, corrupt its dogmas". "The right Christian faith consists in giving one's voluntary assent to Christ in all that truly belongs to His teaching." 2. Apostasy: the repudiation of Christ and the central teachings of the Catholic Church by someone who formerly was Catholic. The term apostasy comes from the Greek word apostasia ("ἀποστασία") meaning "rebellion", "state of apostasy", "abandonment", or "defection". Christ prophesied a "falling away" or "apostasy" of the Church would come. Douay Rheims: "Let no man deceive you by any means, for unless there comes a revolt first, and the man of sin be revealed, the son of perdition" ... [2 Thessalonians 2:3]

Pope Clement XII in April 1738, just 20 years after the establishment of Freemasonry in Europe, declared in his bull, "Eminenti Apostolatus Speculaz",

"The beliefs and observances of Freemasonry are pagan and unlawful in the Catholic Church."

Pope Leo XIII in 1884 in his bull, "Encyclical humanum genus, n.2", he stated,

"At every period of time each [the Kingdom of God and the kingdom of Satan] has been in conflict with the other, with a variety and multiplicity of weapons and of warfare, although not always with equal ardor and assault. At this period, however, the partisans of evil seem to be combining together, and to be struggling with united vehemence, led on or assisted by that strongly organized and widespread association called the **Freemasons**. No longer making any secret of their purposes, they are now boldly rising up against God Himself. **They are planning the destruction of holy Church publicly and openly**, and **this with the set purpose of utterly despoiling the nations of Christendom**, if it were possible, of the blessings obtained for us through Jesus Christ our Savior."

FREEMASONRY

Freemasonry or Masonry is a secret society taking blood oaths contrary to Christian morals. Freemasonry One of Masonry's primary objectives is to destroy the Catholic Church. * See page 8 herein.

Canon Law #2335 of the Code of Canon Law forbade Catholics to join the Freemasons or any similar organization under pain of **excommunication**.

The oldest known document with reference to Masons is the **Regius Poem**, printed about 1390. Then in 1717 the first Grand Lodge of England was established by German Jews. Their mission was and is to undermine the Catholic Church and ultimately eliminate Christianity around the world.

What does the evidence reveal about the "popes" since Pope Pius XII died in 1958.

John XXIII was put on Peter's chair in 1958.

- 1. There are strong indicators that he was a 33rd degree of the secret society of **Freemasonry.**However, the "evidence" is either not there or has been covered up. Perhaps we don't know for sure because it is a "secret" society.
 - What we do know is that he was very close ally with the Soviet ambassador, M. Bogomolov, a Jew, and was heavily influenced by the communist party at the time.
- 2. He introduced false ecumenism at Vatican II laying the groundwork for the Protestant concept of "universal salvation", that all who claim to be Christian outside the Catholic Church are joined into the Catholic Church by faith.
- 3. John XXIII said to the non-Catholic Roger Schutz, founder of the ecumenical community at Taize (a non-Catholic, ecumenical monastery): "You are in the Church, so be at peace."
- 4. He addressed the opening of Vatican II with this statement:
 "In the present order of things, Divine Providence is leading us to a "new order" of human relations..."
- 5. He called the schematic "Orthodox Church" brothers of the Church.
- 6. He promoted socialism and communism, that "God is in all of us."
- 7. He revealed that he was a Jew, saying that "Jews are still the chosen people" in a prayer in 1960.

Paul VI

On the day he was "elected", The Grand Master of the Masonry, Gamberini, stated: He is our man!

- 1. He too had strong ties with the secret society of **Freemasonry.** To what extent? Unknown.
- 2. He gave his "pastoral" "ring" to the Burmese Buddhist U'thant, Secretary General of the UN, and stated, "The UN is the only hope for mankind."
- 3. He stated that "religions invented by man are sometimes extremely noble!
- 4. He further stated, "God has distributed among the nations of the earth Buddhism, Hinduism, Mohammedism, Shintoism, and other ancient religions of Asia as riches of the east."
- 5. He professed, "Everyone is free to profess and practice their own religion."
- 6. He stated that, "Protestants should not become Catholic, but remain loyal to their own sects."
- 7. Paul VI instituted a brand "new" novel, "ordinary" Mass he called "Novus Ordo Missae". He instructed all altars to be taken away and ordered the Protestant "Lord's Supper Table" be added to be facing the people, not Christ during Mass. He also did away with the Latin Mass as well.

There are many more scandalous and heretical matters that surrounded Paul VI during his life, but these few suffice to conclude he was, in fact, a heretic and, in fact, an enemy of Christ and His Church.

John Paul I

- 1. John Paul I was also had strong "secret" ties to **Freemasons** and the communist party.
- 2. He promoted "religious freedom", that liars and heretics have their rights.
- 3. He was an ardent feminist, and openly stated "God, the Holy Spirit, is a woman."

John Paul II

John Paul II is credited as putting forth 101 heresies, but we will only mention a few.

- 1. He too had ties to **Freemasonry** and called Freemasons, "sons of God" too.
- 2. He taught that infants can be saved without water baptism.
- 3. The Holy Spirit uses sects as means of salvation.
- 4. Heretics are Christians too.
- 5. The New World Order is holy and needed to save the world.
- 6. The UN is the supreme forum of peace and justice.
- 7. The Catholic Church has a bond with false religions.

Need we see more to realize John Paul II was far from being a saint and, in fact, was a heretic.

Benedict XVI

- 1. He denied the Real Presence of Christ in the eucharist.
- 2. He rejected and ridiculed the doctrine of Original Sin in public.
- 3. He was an Evolutionist, atheist.
- 4. He promoted universal salvation that God accepts all faiths outside the Catholic Church.
- 5. He denied the resurrection of the physical body.
- 6. He taught that schismatic sects (e.g., the "Orthodox" sects) are in the Church.
- 7. He held that Jews can validly continue to await the coming of the Messiah.

Francis

Where do we begin?

- 1. He was received by the Grand Master of **Freemasonry** in Italy and Argentina in 2019.
- 2. October 4, 2022, he not only allowed the worship of the idolatrous pagan goddess **Pachamama** in the Vatican Gardens, desecrating the graves of the martyrs and of the church of the Apostle Peter, but on October 7, 2022, he placed Pachamama in front of the main altar at St. Peter's.
- 3. He blessed a crucifix with the "communist hammer and sickle" handed to him by a known "communist" the Bolivian President Evo Morales.
- 4. He teaches that all Protestant sects (over 40,000) are saintly and equal to the Catholic Church.
- 5. He teaches that Jews are still God's chosen people and will be saved by God, even without Christ.

- 6. He teaches that all pagan religions, such as Buddhists, Hindus, Muslims, and Shinto religions are to be respected and accepted, who will also be saved and go to heaven.
- 7. He teaches that those who commit suicide can be saved.
- 8. Finally, with the new **Synod on Synodality** promoting blessings for same-sex couples, as well as heterosexual couples not married living together in sin, are acceptable.

Each of these past six "popes" in Rome since Pius XII, consider all 40,000 denominations of Protestantism today as part of the Catholic Church. Is this true? Consider the facts about Protestants.

- 1. They do not accept that Almighty God is One God of three divine persons, the trinity.
- 2. They reject the Latin Vulgate Bible of 382 A.D., including all 7 deuterocanonical books.
- 3. They do not believe "the Church", the Catholic Church, was established in 33 A.D. at Pentecost.
- 4. They do not accept St. Peter as the first Holy Father, Pope (papa), as head of Christ Church.
- 5. They do not believe in the real "presence" of Christ in the Mass.
- 6. They do not believe it's necessary to be "baptized in water" to enter God's kingdom in heaven.
- 7. They do not believe it's necessary to "confess" sins to a Catholic priest to receive absolution.
- 8. They believe that if people just have faith in Christ, without the sacraments, will be saved.
- 9. They do not believe in the "resurrection of the body" when entering God's kingdom.
- 10. They do not believe in the immaculate conception of Jesus' mother Mary.

Protestants are no part of the Church Christ established at Pentecost, the Catholic Church.

The facts clearly highlight that every single one of these "Popes" seat since Pope Pius XII died have been apostates. They not only have repudiated (deny the truth of Christ words and disobey Him) but they also have accepted and, in fact, promoted immoral positions such things as "same sex 'couples' blessings" and "heterosexual unions outside marriage".

"Do you not know that the unjust shall not possess the kingdom of God? neither fornicators (heterosexuals living together outside marriage) ... nor the effeminates (same sex couples), nor liars ... nor drunkards, shall inherit the kingdom of God." [1 Corinthians 6:9-19]

When anyone [like Francis] speaks opposite from what Christ taught, that constitutes apostasy.

The clear and present danger now is that anyone who does not go along with Novus Ordo are labeled as judgmental, narrowminded, and an enemy of the new Novus Ordo religion in Rome by not accepting the broadly accepted practices of such things as same-sex and extramarital unions. Bishops and priests who do not go along with this they are deconsecrated, defrocked or laicized. That's how far it has gone.

It's clear that the new Novus Ordo conciliar religion in modern Rome no longer is the apostolic Catholic Church Christ established with Peter at Pentecost in 33 A.D. Novus Ordo has become "Babylon the Great", according to Apocalypse chapters 17-19, and Christ warned His faithful followers to "Flee" from it.

To not flee from "Babylon the Great", the adulterous "Harlot", from Novus Ordo is taking a huge risk. We have examples like Lot's wife, who didn't turn away from Sodom, or like those in Jerusalem in 70 A.D., who did not heed the warning to flee before the Roman armies came to destroy all of Jerusalem.

John XXIII was made a "pope" in 1958, 66 years ago. Could there be a prophetical parallel between old Jerusalem exiled for 70 years in ancient Babylon before God destroyed Babylon and Babylon the Great?

"And I heard another voice from heaven, saying: Go out from her, my people; that you be not partakers of her sins, and **that you receive not of her plagues**. For her sins have reached unto heaven, and the Lord hath remembered her iniquities." [Apocalypse 18:4-5]

Of course, this raises the burning question: If I "Get out of Novus Ordo..." where do I go from here?

Where did Christians go when Jesus warned them to "flee" Jerusalem in 70 A.D.? There were not Churches, chapels, or missions outside Jerusalem when they fled to the mountains and deserts away from Jerusalem. Their only place of safety at that time was in the knowledge that their faith in Christ would preserve them even without specific guidelines until He revealed His purpose. In the meantime, they obediently followed Christ commands to flee and remain separate from false religion and the nations around them.

When Jesus foretold a sign of the "last days", He told His disciples,

"As the days of Noah were, so shall also the coming of the Son of man be. For as in the days that were before the flood they were eating and drinking, marrying and giving in marriage, until the day that Noah entered into the ark, And knew not until the flood came and took them all away." [Matthew 24:37]

For all their lives Noah and his family freely walked among the peoples in his day without concern because they remained separate from the world and their debauchery. But then God announced He was going to clean the earth and destroy all the evil that was going on around Noah, so God instructed Noah to build an Ark and flee for safety before the flood came.

Just as God knew then, no one was paying attention, so too, Jesus spoke these words to His apostles that most people in the "time of the end" would also "not" heed His warnings and would simply go about their lives not even noticing the signs around them, and remained content not to know what they did not know.

Ignorance of God's warnings and the signs Christ revealed is not a secure place to be. John points out,

"...this is eternal life, taking in knowledge of God..." [John 17:3]

SUMMARY

No one can or should judge another. No one can dictate what another should do or not do on these matters. Each Catholic must decide for themselves what course of action they must take to maintain their faith and find a place of spirit safety. Our faith tells us that God will not leave us without answers, if we're looking for answers from Christ, not others, not even fellow Catholics. We are all sinners.

Jesus promised us,

"Ask, and it shall be given you: seek, and you shall find, knock, and it shall be opened to you."

[Matthew 7:7]

God provided Noah and his family a place of safety, the Ark. Lot and his family were told their place of safety was outside the city of Sodom. For the Christians in Jerusalem before 70 A.D., their place of safety was outside the city of Jerusalem about to be destroyed by the Roman armies.

As we examine the Apocalypse in the light of Church teachings and current events in the last century, it has become clear, there is a new religion, Novus Ordo, in Rome that has taken the place of what was once New Jerusalem, God's Holy See, the center of the Catholic faith for centuries. God's enemies, Freemasonry and communism, have infiltrated and adulterated modern Rome and the hierarchy of the Church causing God's faithful to scatter.

Jesus foretold us that this would take place, [Matthew 26:31]

"For it is written: I will strike the shepherd, and the sheep of the flock shall be dispersed."

Many of His "sheep" already left this new religion, "Babylon the Great", and are looking for a place of safety is outside Novus Ordo while remaining faithful to Christ in all things, including prayer and in the knowledge that Jesus will fulfill His promises to His faithful followers who heed His warnings.

"Get out of her" is not a suggestion or opinions of some radical Catholics. These are Christ words.

PROOF OF FREEMASON AND JEWISH INFILTRATION IN NOVUS ORDO

The Offertory prayer has long been a target of the enemies of Christ and His Church, since it clearly expresses the propitiatory content of the Sacrifice of Christ which is repeated in the Mass. What Protestantism failed to do to destroy the Offertory, in modern times, the Freemasons and communist Jews accomplished by infiltrating the Church during the Second Vatican Council. How?

THE TALMUDIC TOUCH

THE REAL STORY OF THE OFFERTORY'S REPLACEMENT IN NOVUS ORDO

Cardinal Joseph Aloisius Ratzinger, who became Pope Benedict XVI is on record admitting the practical disaster of the liturgical reform. Catholics around the world also admit that one of the most subtle and blasphemous changes is in the prayer of the Mass, particularly the "Offertory" prayer during Mass.

Some of the Novus Ordo hierarchy claim that the new Offertory was merely a reflection of ancient traditional Jewish table prayers. However, the facts reveal that this new the Offertory has been replaced by a "Seder" prayer with no connection to the practices of the Old Testament Israelites, but rather stems from a formula from the Talmud, a collection of oral and written laws and writings of rabbis starting in ancient pagan Babylon and up to the Jerusalem Talmud during Jesus time, and after through the centuries. Because so few Catholics and even Jews know of these Talmudic writings, it's understandable that these prayers were added without any notice in the new Mass of Novus Ordo.

This was the subject of a stern warning by Pope Pius XII in Mediator Dei, that some would come in and pretend to resurrect early traditions of the Church. He said this was fraudulent. Nowhere is this more clear than in the supposed revival of a "Jewish table blessing" from the days of the first Jewish converts to Christianity as a replacement for the Offertory. These were lies. How?

- 1. The Offertory was not replaced by a Jewish table blessing, but by a rabbinical blessing from the Talmud, as we will see below.
- 2. The Talmud was not written during the life of Christ or His Apostles and could not have been reflective of anything in the early Church except the traditions of its first enemies. In fact, the Talmud was written in Babylon after the Rabbis had rejected the Messiah and were written in fact by Rabbis in full and venomous agreement with that rejection.
- 3. The Talmudic blessing is part of a list of "blessings" in the Talmud which also contains curses of Christians.
- 4. What we now know as Judaism is now codified in the Talmud and has no connection to the faith of the Old Testament. (Matthew 15:1-9).
- 5. Borrowing any prayer from the Talmud is anti-Christ in nature.
- 6. The Talmud contains the most horrid blasphemies against both Jesus and Mary known to man, only a few of which we will quote for purposes of documentation below.

The Second Vatican Council Fathers were all warned that covert forces of Judaism and Freemasonry were about to stage a "coup" at the Council, under the guise of a "brotherly reconciliation" and under the pretext of "bridge-building". This warning came in the form of a document, "The Plot Against the Church", in part penned by Fr. Saenz Arriaga, who wore the Jewish Ephod of Caiaphas by Paul VI, an emblem replete with Masonic as well as rabbinical symbolism.

The architect of the New Mass, Archbishop Annibale Bugnini, has been well exposed as a secret Freemason. A raid of an Italian Lodge in 1976 revealed a roster of high-ranking Vatican prelates, their dates of initiation into Freemasonry, and even their code-names. Bugnini entered the Brotherhood on April 23, 1963. His code-name was Buan.

As stated above, several Popes had condemned Freemasonry, beginning with Clement XII in 1738, shortly after the secret society of Freemasonry was organized in England in 1717.

The Third or Master Mason Degree, contains an invocation "for the good of the Jewish nation". Hence Freemasonry is an institution clearly pledged to fight against the Church to serve the interests of Judaism as embodied in the Talmud.

Nevertheless, Masons bored from within, in accordance with their own plans which had been exposed by Monsignor Dillon in 1884 and published by Pope Leo XIII one year later at his own expense, after the Pope had himself written "Humanum Genus", the most expansive a papal condemnation of Freemasonry. One of the ensuing Masonic triumphs against the Church was clearly the wreckage of the liturgy, led by one of their own members, as we have seen. To understand how blasphemous this substitution of the Offertory is, we must investigate the background of anti-Christian subversion from the masters to whom the Masonic institution is pledged in service as evidenced in the Royal Arch Degree referenced above.

A modern myth is that this "Jewish table blessing" has its roots in worship from the time of Ezra. False. The Jewish Encyclopedia 9 (published 1901-1906) tells us, in its article on Benedictions, that this story of the origin of "blessings" in Judaism is a "rabbinical tradition" in the Talmud itself, in Berakoth 33a, as indeed it is. As a source of history, however, the Talmud should as a rule be rejected, just as one should reject the Talmudic stories that the Blessed Virgin Mary was a "harlot" (Sanhedrin 106a), that Adam had sexual intercourse with all the animals in the Garden of Eden (Yebamoth 63a), that Jesus "learned witchcraft in Egypt" (Shabbos 104b), or that Jesus is in Hell being boiled in "hot excrement" (Gittin 57a). One must emphasize that these passages and many others, long denied by Rabbis, have been included in the most recent and authoritative translation of the Talmud, several volumes of which are still in production, rendered by the noted Talmudic scholar Rabbi Adin Steinsaltz. Rabbi Steinsaltz comments on prior truncated and censored versions of the Talmud: "Wherever the Talmud makes derogatory reference to Jesus or to Christianity in general, the comment was completely erased, and the name of Christ was systematically removed". 10

In "The Essential Talmud", Rabbi Steinsaltz states, "Babylonian scholars were attracted to the new center and thousands of disciples who flocked to study there." 11. What Christ condemned as "the traditions of the elders" (Mark 7:1-13), Rabbi Steinsaltz calls it "oral law," stating "the work of preserving and codifying the vast body of oral law went on for generations". 12 This oral law was eventually written down as the Talmud, the most important and authoritative version being the lengthy Babylonian Talmud. According to Steinsaltz, "the Talmud is the central pillar of Jewish culture", not the Old Testament. The Talmud became the central teaching and laws of the Pharisees and Scribes in Jesus day. The Talmud remains the most authoritative work in Judaism today. This is why adding a Seder prayer into the Novus Ordo Mass today from the Talmud is so serious and an abomination.

Some might respond that Judaism is not a different religion, but merely an earlier "phase" of the covenant now called Christianity, 14 with prayers that came directly from God. In response to this false characterization, confusing as it does the faith of the Israelites with the corruptions of the Talmudic Pharisees, already well-entrenched in paganism, according to St John Chrysostom, a doctor of the Church, "The Jews say that they adore God. But no Jew adores God." Jesus Himself stated, "If you were to know My Father, you would also know Me. But you neither know Me nor do you know My Father.' Could I produce a witness more trustworthy than the Son of God?" – circa 390 A.D.

The "Jewish table blessing" is Talmudic in origin. There is nothing written or referenced in the Old Testament. Such "traditions of men" Christ Himself condemned as stated in Mark 7:1-13; Matthew 15:1-9; and Matthew 23:25-26. In the Jewish Encyclopedia explanation of "Benedictions," we find that "in the course of time all these benedictions assumed a stereotyped form; and the rule is given by Rabs that, to be regarded as a regular benediction (Ber. 40b), every benediction must contain the name of God, and by R. Johanan that it must contain the attribute of God's kingship." In other words, the Talmud and its

rabbinical authors dictated the form of the blessing in Judaism which we later find brazenly imported by Freemason Bugnini and the Novus Ordo Mass today. Why? What could possibly be the reason?

By the time of Vatican II many voices were crying out for "peace with Judaism". A new Talmudic teaching of Judaism was introduced into Novus Ordo, with the "Nostra Aetate" decree, that the Jews did not kill Jesus after all. 16 The Jews used the false whip of the Holocaust against the Church to get Novus Ordo to gain sympathy for Jewish synagogues. Had Paul VI truly accepted Christ words, he would not have swapped prayers of the Talmud into the Holy Mass. As St. John Chrysostom went on to state: "Some say the synagogue of Satan as a holy place, but I must say to them, "Why do you reverence that place? It is an abomination. Run away from it? They answer that the Law and the books of the prophets are kept there. What is this? These books (Talmud) are stored in Jewish synagogues today and are to be abhorred. They were given the prophets and sacred writings but rejected them. This is the greatest outrage." 17 But the advice of St Chrysostom was not only ignored, but many in Novus Ordo Church apologize for his comments within the Synagogue of Rome on April 13, 1986. 18.

The source of the replacement for the Offertory is clarified in the Jewish Encyclopedia, which introduces a list of "benedictions prescribed in the Talmud and adopted into the liturgy; each of them beginning with the formula 'Blessed art Thou, O Lord, Our God, King of the Universe'!" Although the liturgy of Judaism is intended in the above reference, ironically this Talmudic benediction became repeated almost verbatim in the New Mass of Novus Ordo. But of even greater irony is the fact that in this instance, the Latin is closer in form to the Talmud than the English translation done by the ICEL: for the Latin reads, "Benedictus es, Domine, Deus universi, which translated literally becomes "Blessed are You, Lord, God of the universe, whereas the common translation one encounters is Blessed are You, Lord God of all creation." The difference is small, but the Latin more explicitly parallels the Talmud. Tragically, those who hope for a "purification" of the New Mass by rendering it in Latin would only render the blasphemous parallel between the Offertory's replacement and the Talmud more exact. In other words, saying the "new" Mass in Latin amplifies their blasphemy. This is another reason for maintaining the pre-Vatican II Tridentine Latin Mass.

As One reads the Talmud and the Jewish Encyclopedia, it becomes apparent that this formula extends to all benedictions, not merely to table blessings. By the 2nd Century, states the Jewish Encyclopedia, "they were already fixed as to form and number, since R. Meïr declares it to be the duty of everyone to say one hundred benedictions daily." These "benedictions" include reciting a "blessing" after vacating one's bowels ("who has formed man in wisdom and created many orifices"), thanking God for not making one a Gentile, and thanking God "who hast not made me a woman." [emphasizing their hatred for gentiles and women]

The basic structure of benedictions was eventually crystallized into eighteen. Rabbi Steinsaltz comments, "The Great Assembly decided to compose a standard prayer reflecting the wishes and aspirations of the entire people. It was composed of eighteen benedictions, (abbreviated above), each dealing in brief with one subject. This prayer, most of which has survived to the present-day and still constitutes the basis of the synagogue service, consists of three opening benedictions, three closing benedictions, and twelve intermediate ones containing various requests and supplications." 19. Of note, however, is the fact that the daily "blessings" of Judaism contain a curse against Christians. As Professor Israel Shahak of Hebrew University tells us, "in the most important section of the weekday prayer the 'eighteen blessings' there is a special curse, originally directed against Christians, Jewish converts to Christianity and other Jewish heretics: 'And may the apostates have no hope, and all the Christians perish instantly.' 20" Rabbi Steinsaltz comments, "One of the alterations introduced into the service shortly after the destruction [of the Second Temple] was not, however, connected to the Temple itself but to the problem of the heretic, Gnostic and Christian sects. Matters reached such a pass that the Sanhedrin sages at Yavneh decided to add to the "Shemoneh Esreh" an additional benediction (which is in fact is a curse) on heretics 21" One can see that the prayer-form in the New Mass was used not only for table and even bathroom "blessings" but also to introduce curses of Christians, as even hesitantly admitted by Rabbi Steinsaltz.

These are the chilling facts behind the real source of the prayers that replaced the Offertory in this New "Novus Ordo" Mass in modern Rome and all the Churches throughout the world that have incorporated this new Mass every Sunday. Those attending and hearing this new Mass are knowingly or unknowingly in compliance with the hate-filled Talmudic Jewish agenda. And the fact that the version of the prayer in the new Novus Ordo Mass is not overtly blasphemous is even more offensive since it sounds so innocent and appeases the people and yet it undermines their faith in Christ and His Church.

Several "Council Fathers" prior to convening the Second Vatican Council after reviewing the proposed documents of Vatican II, warned other council members, including the "Pope" at that time, Paul VI, in no uncertain terms, "This is the most infamous conspiracy in progress against the Church. Her enemies are working to destroy the most holy traditions and thus to introduce dangerous and evil-intended reforms. They manifest a hypocritical zeal to modernize the Church and to adapt it to the present-day situation. In reality, they conceal the secret intention of opening the gates, to prepare the further destruction of Christianity. All this it is intended to put into effect at the coming Vatican Council. We have proof of how everything is being planned in secret agreement." 24 But today we do not need proof of treasonous planning, for we can see the results in the implementation of the post-conciliar reforms, including the reform of the liturgy. And nowhere is the hand of an enemy more clearly apparent than in the replacement of the Offertory with words which are a hallmark of a different religion, reproduced from the premier anti-Christian text of the Talmud in the broad history of human resistance to grace."

These are but a few of the facts behind the sins of the new Novus Ordo Church. The Church leaders of Christ Church at that time, allowed Freemasons and Talmudic Jews influence and corrupt the very traditions of the Catholic Church causing it to fulfill the prophecies in the Apocalypse and how it describes in detail how it became an unfaithful "Harlot", Babylon the great, an abomination of the earth as John foretold in Apocalypse 17:4-5.

What more proof do we need to "**Get out of 'her'**..." the Novus Ordo apparatus as soon as possible? This is but one aspect of the signs Jesus warned that we are living in "**the time of the end**" of this world.

While it's true, Jesus said, "No one knows the day or hour, only the Father." But He also said, we will know the season by the fruit of that season. (Luke 21:29-30) Otherwise, why give us signs?

God also told the prophet Daniel, "...shut up the words, and seal the book, until the 'time of the end': knowledge shall be increased" at that time. Dan.12:4 Are we not in that time?

Some ask, how can mere lay people without degrees in theology without holy orders from the Church know these things? Jesus answered, "...thou hast hid these things from the wise and prudent, and hast revealed them to the little one." (Matt. 11:25) Jesus also referred to them as "the least of my brothers". (Matt.25:40) He compared humble believers, His brothers, to the arrogant self-righteous Pharisees of His day, as like the hierarchy of apostate Rome today. Jesus favors lay people honestly seeking the truth.

The clergy formed by Novus Ordo are not going to tell the "faithful" to get out of Novus Ordo. If they heed Jesus warning, they, too, would have to "Get out of her", and leave Novus Ordo, not just physically, but they'd have to abandon her heretical teachings, false ecumenism, baptism of desire, Freemason Talmudic prayers, and their winking at pedophiles and fornicators, and many such things. (1 Corinthians 6:10)

These are perplexing and dangerous times. This is no time to shy away from trying to understand such things and how to respond to Christ warnings.

Footnotes:

- 1. For this reason the Talmud was ordered burned by Innocent IV in Bulle Impia Judeorum Perfidia, and later again by several Popes.
- 2. The facts were related in a September 12, 1978 article in Osservatore Politico in Rome, Italy entitled La gran loggia vaticana. The author reportedly died after printing the list of prelates.
- 3. Captain William Morgan, a Royal Arch Freemason, published the Masonic rituals and secret oaths in 1827. He was kidnapped and murdered by fellow Masons, an event which led to the original third political party in the United States: the Anti-Mason Party.
- 4. Hebrew for "holy" or "consecrated."
- 5. See Secret Societies Illustrated, published by Masonic publisher Ezra A. Cook Publications, Inc., p. 123.
- 6. Duncan's Masonic Ritual and Monitor, Malcolm C. Duncan, p. 249.
- 7. The excommunication of Freemasons was removed from the 1983 Code of Canon Law, although Cardinal Ratzinger subsequently clarified on November 26, 1983 that membership is a "grave sin" which excludes one from lawful reception of Holy Communion. One wonders, however, why the explicit canonical ban was removed. It is certainly true that many Catholics heard of this change and joined Masonic Lodges.
- 8. The reader is referred to the excellent summary of these documents by John Vennari, The Permanent Instruction of the Alta Vendita: A Masonic Blueprint for the Subversion of the Catholic Church, (TAN Books and Publishers, Inc.) Available from Catholic Family News, \$4.00US postpaid.
- 9. Available online at www. Jewishencyclopedia.com
- 10. Adin Steinsaltz, The Essential Talmud, p. 84.
- 11. Adin Steinsaltz, Ibid., p. 43.
- 12. Steinsaltz, Ibid., p. 41.
- 13. Ibid., p. 266.
- 14. The unbiblical and unCatholic premise of Cardinal Ratzinger's work, Many Religions----One Covenant: Israel, the Church and the World, Ignatius Press, 1999.
- 15. St. John Chrysostom, Discourse on Judaizing Christians, III (2).
- 16. The day this was decreed, St. Simon of Trent was removed from the Roman Calendar----the child Martyr who had been killed by Jews on Good Friday out of hatred of Christ.
- 17. St. John Chrysostom, Ibid., V (2).
- 18. John Paul II was directly confronted on this occasion with the burning of the Talmud by his predecessors. His response was to apologize for "the acts of discrimination, unjustified limitation of religious freedom . . . in regard to the Jews . . . by anyone," and he added,"I repeat, by anyone." See Luigi Accattoli, Man of the Millennium: John Paul II, pp. 139-40. If John Paul II included prior Popes in his apology, by clear implication he included St. John Chrysostom, who was famous for his fiery denunciation of Talmudic poison.
- 19. Adin Steinsaltz, Ibid., pp. 101-102.
- 20. Israel Shahak, Jewish History, Jewish Religion, p. 63.
- 21. Adin Steinsaltz, Ibid., p. 105.
- 22. An excellent summary of these passages can be obtained in the concise reference work Judaism's Strange Gods by Michael A. Hoffman II.
- 23. For example, would a neo-Catholic object to the phrase, "There is no bond that can unite the divided but love"? Innocent enough in itself, it is a quotation from the odious Masonic Book of the Lawof Crowley, which, like the Talmud, contains blasphemy against Jesus and Mary. If one finds a quote from Crowley objectionable-----as one should-----the objection holds a fortiori against the Rabbis who lived closer to the time of Christ, and yet denigrated Him with even worse blasphemy in the Talmud.
- 24. Maurice Pinay, The Plot Against the Church, p. 15.